

AO 450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case ⊕

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

***** DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

FRANK J. FOSBRE, JR.,)
derivatively on behalf) **3:09-CV-0467-ECR-RAM (Base Case)**
of INTERNATIONAL GAME) Member Cases 3:09-CV-0489,
TECHNOLOGY,) 3:09-CV-0536, and 3:09-CV-0542
)

Plaintiff,)
)

vs.)
)

THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al.,)
)

Defendants)
)

and)
)

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,)
)

Nominal Defendant)
)

JEANNE M. CALAMORE,)
)

derivatively) **3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC**
on behalf of INTERNATIONAL)
GAME TECHNOLOGY,)
)

Plaintiff,)
)

vs.)
)

THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al.,)
)

Defendants)
)

and)
)

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,)
)

Nominal Defendant)
)

SANJAY ISRANI, derivatively)	3:09-CV-0536-ECR-RAM
on behalf of)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY))	
_____)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
ROBERT A. BITTMAN, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants)	
)	
and)	
)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,)	
)	
Nominal Defendant)	
_____)	

IRINA ARONSON, derivatively)	3:09-CV-0542-ECR-VPC
on behalf of)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY))	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants)	
)	
and)	
)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,)	
)	
Nominal Defendant)	
_____)	

X Decision by Court. This action came to be considered before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

On July 2, 2010, the Court Ordered (#81) that IGT's Motion to Dismiss (#54) be granted and that Plaintiffs would have twenty-one (21) days within which to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs have not filed an amended complaint.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs.

Lance S. Wilson

August 5, 2010

Clerk

Date

Lance S. Wilson

